Deep Learning Training Tips

Deep Learning Training Tips Training Process



Deep Learning Training Tips

Training Process

DL is very strong but...

- It sometimes can't achieve the good performance
- > The training time might be long

≻ etc.



Reference



Recap

The success of a machine learning model relies on minimizing the error between the actual and the predicted results. This error/loss is evaluated using special functions called **loss functions**

Gradient descent is a powerful optimization technique used to minimize loss functions. It leverages mathematical concepts to update weights, step by step, in order to gradually reduce model loss and move it towards a local minima







Number of hours spent on reading per week

Given this data we would like to predict score when given the number of hours Then, I might predict that



Ideally, we would know the exact mathematical formula that describes the relation between number of hours and score ...but, in this case, we don't know the function: f(x), so



Assume the green curve is the "true" relationship for reference The first step, split the data into two sets, one for training the machine learning algorithms and one for testing them



The first algorithm that we will use is Linear Regression



Number of hours spent on reading per week

Thus, the **Straight Line** will never reach the true relationship between number of hours and score, no matter how we fit it to the training set or we training how many $_{11}$ iterations

The inability for a machine learning method (like linear regression) to capture the true relationship is called bias



Because the Straight Line couldn't curved like the "true" relationship, it has a relatively large amount of bias

Number of hours spent on reading per week

Another machine learning algorithm might fit a **Squiggly Line** to the **training set**

Score



The **Squiggly Line** is super flexible and hugs the **training set** along the arc of the "true" relationship

Because the Squiggly Line could handle the arc in the "true" relationship between number of hours and score, it has vary little bias

Number of hours spent on reading per week

If we measure the distances from the fit lines to the data, square them and add them up (like mean square error)

In the compare as below, we could see whether the straight line fits the training set better than the squiggly line?

The Squiggly Line wins



14

We also have testing set. Now let's calculate the Sums of squares for the testing set

In the contest to see whether the straight Line fits the testing set better than the squiggly Line?



Even though Squiggly Line did a great job fitting the training set, it did a terrible job on fitting testing set

In Machine Learning domain, the huge difference error results in fits between training and testing data sets is called Variance

High Bias and High Variance



Training instances

<u>Reference</u>

High Bias and High Variance

Regime 1 (High variance)

- > Symptoms:
 - Training error is much lower than test error
 - Training error is lower than ε
 - Test error is higher than ε
- > Remedies:
 - Add more training data
 - Reduce model complexity complex models are prone to high variance



Training instances

High Bias and High Variance

Regime 2 (High bias)

- > Symptoms:
 - Training error is higher than ε



> Remedies:

- Use more complex model
- Add features

Training instances

Bias and Variance Tradeoff

There is usually a bias-variance tradeoff caused by model complexity

Complex models (many parameters) usually have lower bias, but higher variance

Simple models (few parameters) have higher bias, but lower variance

Bias and Variance Tradeoff



Bias and Variance Tradeoff



Bias and Variance Tradeoff



Formalizing Bias and Variance



A champion model should maintain a balance between these two types of errors. This is known as the trade-off management of bias-variance errors



02

Gradient Unstable

Deep Learning Training Tips

Gradient Unstable

What is gradient unstable?

> Due to the "deep" layer



Deep Learning Training Tips Gradient Unstable



$$f_{i+1} = f(f_i W_{i+1})$$

If there are three layers in the hidden layer

 $f = f_3(W_3 f_2(W_2 f_1(W_{i+1})))$

 $\vec{J}_{3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} = \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial f_{2}} w_{3} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial f_{1}} w_{2} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial w_{1}}$

Deep Learning Training Tips Gradient Unstable



if $0 < W, D_{\rm f} < 1$

Vanishing gradient

Deep Learning Training Tips Gradient Unstable



if *w*, $D_{\rm f} > 1$

Exploding gradient



Optimization

03

Deep Learning Training Tips

Optimization

Review optimization

- Gradient descent
- > SGD (Stochastic gradient descent)
- > Adagrad (Adaptive learning rate)
- ➢ RMSprop
- ≻ Adam

Deep Learning Training Tips

Optimization

Gradient descent

Watch all data

$$\theta^{1} = \theta^{0} - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^{0})$$

SGD

- Random watch a data
- Random watch some data



Adagrad

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1}^{i} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t}^{i} - \frac{\eta}{\sigma_{t}^{i}} \boldsymbol{g}_{t}^{i} \qquad \sigma_{t}^{i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{t+1} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (\boldsymbol{g}_{t}^{i})}$$

RMSProp

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1}^{i} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t}^{i} - \frac{\eta}{\sigma_{t}^{i}} \boldsymbol{g}_{t}^{i} \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t}^{i} = \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_{t-1}^{i})^{2} + (1-\alpha)(\boldsymbol{g}_{t}^{i})^{2}}$$

2





Taxab antin

Torch.optim

Gradient Descent	optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9)
SGD	optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9)
Adagrad	optim.Adagrad(params, lr=0.01, lr_decay=0, weight_decay=0, initial_accumulator_value=0, eps=1e-10)
RMSProp	optim.RMSprop(params, lr=0.01, alpha=0.99, eps=1e-08, weight _decay=0, momentum=0, centered=False)
Adam	optim.Adam([var1, var2], lr=0.0001)

optim.SGD([

```
{'params': model.base.parameters()},
```

```
{'params': model.classifier.parameters(), 'lr': 1e-3}
```

```
], lr=1e-2, momentum=0.9)
```

PyTorch 的 optimizer 會透過 step() 方法,依據我們設定的學習率還有其他超參數等,來更新我們的參數。

有了優化器,我們也不用再對每一個參數做清零 zero_grad()了,只需要透過優化器來做就好。

optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(filter(lambda p: p.requires_grad, model.parameters()), lr=lr) # Choose optimize

if model.training: optimizer.zero_grad() out_loss.backward() optimizer.step()

Deep Learning Training Tips

Optimization

Best of both worlds

Using two different optimizers



Reference³⁹



04

Activation Functions

Deep Learning Training Tips

Activation Functions

Identity	f(x) = x	
Step	$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & for \ x < 0 \\ 1 & for \ x \ge 0 \end{cases}$	
Sigmoid	$f(x) = \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$	torch.nn.Sigmoid()
tanh	$f(x) = \tanh(x) = \frac{(e^x - e^{-x})}{(e^x + e^{-x})}$	torch.nn.Tanh()

Deep Learning Training Tips

Activation Functions

Relu	$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & for \ x < 0 \\ x & for \ x \ge 0 \end{cases}$	torch.nn.ReLU()
Leaky Relu	$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0.01x & for \ x < 0 \\ x & for \ x \ge 0 \end{cases}$	torch.nn.LeakyReLU()



Loss Functions

05

Deep Learning Training Tips Loss Functions

Choosing Proper Loss





06

Overfitting



Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Why overfitting?

- > Model complexity
- Data imbalance
- ➤ Noise
- > Iterations

_ . . .

Early stopping

Stop training before model overfitting or useless training



Regularization

Introduce additional information

L1

$$cost = \sum_{i=0}^{N} (y_i - \sum_{j=0}^{M} x_{ij} W_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{M} |W_j|$$
L2

$$cost = \sum_{i=0}^{N} (y_i - \sum_{j=0}^{M} x_{ij} W_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{M} |W_j|^2$$

Weight Decay

$$L'(\theta) = L(\theta) + \lambda \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||_2 \quad Gradient: \frac{\partial L'}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} + \lambda w$$

Update: $w^{t+1} \leftarrow w^t - \eta \frac{\partial L'}{\partial w} = w^t - \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} + \lambda w^t$
$$= (1 - \eta \lambda) w^t - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w}$$

Close to 0

Loss function Regularization

49

L1 Regularization

```
regularization_loss = 0
for param in model.parameters():
    regularization_loss += torch.sum(abs(param))
calssify_loss = criterion(pred,target)
loss = classify_loss + lamda * regularization_loss
optimizer.zero_grad()
loss.backward()
optimizer.step()
```

L2 Regularization

weight_decay (float, optional): weight decay (L2 penalty) (default: 0)

optimizer = optim.Adam(model.parameters(),lr=learning_rate,weight_decay=0.01)

Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Data imbalance

- Using class weights
 - Increase the penalty for low number of class



Focal loss

Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Data imbalance

- Using sampling
 - Over-sampling
 - Under-sampling



Dropout

Random freeze some nodes



(a) Standard Neural Net



(b) After applying dropout.

torch.nn.Dropout(p=0.5)

Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Batch normalization

Feature normalization

Advantages

- Slow down the vanishing gradient
- Solve the internal covariate shift
- Eliminate the need of dropout

Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift

Sergey Ioffe Google Inc., sioffe@google.com Christian Szegedy Google Inc., szegedy@google.com

Abstract

Training Deep Neural Networks is complicated by the fact that the distribution of each layer's inputs changes during training, as the parameters of the previous layers change. This slows down the training by requiring lower learning rates and careful parameter initialization, and makes it notoriously hard to train models with saturating nonlinearities. We refer to this phenomenon as *internal covariate* shift, and address the problem by normalizing layer inputs. Our method draws its strength from making normalization a part of the model architecture and performing the normalization for each training mini-batch. Batch Normalization allows us to use much higher learning rates and be less careful about initialization. It also acts as a regularizer, in some cases eliminating the need for Dropout. Applied to a state-of-the-art image classification model, Batch Normalization achieves the same accuracy with 14 times fewer training steps, and beats the original model by a significant margin. Using an ensemble of batchnormalized networks, we improve upon the best published result on ImageNet classification: reaching 4.9% top-5 validation error (and 4.8% test error), exceeding the accuracy of human raters.

Covariate shift

> The distribution in training set is inconsistent with that in test set

Internal covariate shift

> The distribution is inconsistent in each layer of the neural network



Internal covariate shift













Deep Learning

Input: Values of x over a mini-batch: $\mathcal{B} = \{x_{1...m}\}$; Parameters to be learned: γ, β **Output:** $\{y_i = BN_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i)\}$ $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$ // mini-batch mean $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}})^2$ // mini-batch variance $\widehat{x}_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 + \epsilon}}$ // normalize $y_i \leftarrow \gamma \hat{x}_i + \beta \equiv BN_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i)$ // scale and shift

Algorithm 1: Batch Normalizing Transform, applied to activation x over a mini-batch.

Deep Learning

$$\widetilde{x} \rightarrow W^{1} \rightarrow z \xrightarrow{\mu, \sigma} are \text{ from } \underline{batch?}^{\overline{\mu}} \widetilde{z} \rightarrow \cdots$$

We do not always have **batch** at testing stage.

Computing the moving average of μ and σ of the batches during training.

$$\mu^{1} \quad \mu^{2} \quad \mu^{3} \quad \dots \quad \mu^{t}$$
$$\overline{\mu} \leftarrow p\overline{\mu} + (1-p)\mu^{t}$$

Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Other normalization



Reference⁶⁴

Deep Learning Training Tips

Overfitting

Other normalization

- Batch Renormalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.03275
- Layer Normalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.06450
- Instance Normalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.08022
- Group Normalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.08494
- Weight Normalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07868
- Spectrum Normalization
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.10941

Data Augmentation





Reference⁶⁶

Pytorch Transform

```
mean = [0.5, 0.5, 0.5]
std = [0.1, 0.1, 0.1]
transform = transforms.Compose([
        transforms.ToTensor(),
        transforms.Normalize(mean, std),
        transforms.ToPILImage()
1)
```

])

img_pil_normal = transform(img_pil)
img_pil_normal



Reference⁶⁷

Pytorch Transform



Reference⁶⁸

Albumentations

```
train_transform = A.Compose(
     A.SmallestMaxSize(max_size=160),
     A.ShiftScaleRotate(shift_limit=0.05, scale_limit=0.05, rotate_limit=15, p=0.5),
     A.RandomCrop(height=128, width=128),
     A.RGBShift(r_shift_limit=15, g_shift_limit=15, b_shift_limit=15, p=0.5),
     A.RandomBrightnessContrast(p=0.5),
     A.Normalize(mean=(0.485, 0.456, 0.406), std=(0.229, 0.224, 0.225)),
     ToTensorV2(),
```



Reference⁶⁹

Albumentations



